

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/833,708	KNIEB ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee	1714	

All Participants:

(1) Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee.

(2) John Sopp.

Date of Interview: 25 November 2003

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-24

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1430

Kim

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicant's representative regarding to ensure correct interpretation of independent claims. The examiner inquired to clarify that the metal powders and /or semi-metal powders are in fact elemental powders. Discussed was also restriction requirement and rejoinder of claims as all amended claims contain allowable subject matter of at least one independent claim..

The applicants ~~at~~ representative also authorized examiner's amendment to claims 1,2,3,7, 17, 19 to remove term "based"